

A Proof Design for Proofs of " $A \subseteq B$ "

Let A and B be sets.

The definition of " $A \subseteq B$ " has two equivalent forms:

Def'n 1:

$$A \subseteq B \Leftrightarrow \forall x \in U, \text{ if } x \in A, \text{ then } x \in B.$$

Def'n 2:

$$A \subseteq B \Leftrightarrow \forall x \in A, x \in B.$$

These are equivalent because the actual domain of x in Def'n 2 is the same set A as the effective domain of x in Def'n 1.

To Prove: $A \subseteq B$

Proof: Let $x \in A$ be given.

[N.I.T.S.: $x \in B$]

⋮

$\therefore x \in B.$

$\therefore A \subseteq B$, by Direct Proof.

QED

EXAMPLE:

TO PROVE: For all sets A and B ,

$$(A \cap B) \subseteq A.$$

Proof.

Let A and B be any sets.

[N.I.T.S.: $(A \cap B) \subseteq A.$]

Let $x \in A \cap B$ be given.

$\therefore x \in A$ AND $x \in B$, by def'n of "intersection".

$\therefore x \in A$, by Specialization.

[$\therefore \forall x \in A \cap B, x \in A$].

$\therefore (A \cap B) \subseteq A$, by Direct Proof.

\therefore For all sets A and B ,
 $(A \cap B) \subseteq A$, by Direct Proof.

QED.

Proof
Design

EXAMPLE
PROOF